

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,256		09/11/2003	Dong-Woo Ha	1349.1300 3547	
21171	7590	03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
	HALSE	Y LLP	CULLER, JILL E		
	SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2854	
				DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$					
	10/659,256	HA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jill E. Culler	2854						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this coordinates (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 F</u>	ebruary 2005.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-15,19,20,22 and 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,16-18,21 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	•	• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/659,256 Page 2

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I, claims 1-6, 16-18, 21 and 23 in the reply filed on February 9, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. PGPUB 2001/0024228 to Klinefelter et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,759,286 to Witczak and U.S. Patent No. 3,942,314 to Olowinski.

With respect to claims 1 and 16, Klinefelter et al. teaches a feeding roller shaft supporter for an inkjet printer having a feeding roller shaft, comprising: a main chassis which forms a frame of an ink-jet printer; a first supporting unit in the main chassis supporting opposite ends of the feeding roller shaft; a driving member provided at a first end of the feeding roller shaft;

Klinefelter et al. does not teach an axial position correction unit mounted on the feeding roller shaft close to the driving member, correcting an axial position of the feeding roller shaft; or a second supporting unit supporting the feeding roller shaft,

wherein the second supporting unit is provided on a second end of the feeding roller shaft.

Witczak teaches an axial position correction unit mounted on the shaft of a roller, correcting an axial position of the shaft.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the axial position correction unit of Witczak with the feeding roller shaft of Klinefelter et al. in order to have more control over the axial positioning of the shaft.

Olowinski teaches a shaft having a second supporting unit provided on a second end supporting the shaft in an axial direction. See column 2, line 55 – column 3, line 22 and Fig. 2.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the second supporting unit of Olowinski with the shaft supporter of Klinefelter to reduce the vibration in the shaft when the roller is operated.

With respect to claim 2, Klinefelter teaches the first supporting unit comprises first and second bushings, the first and second bushings respectively located at opposite ends of the feeding roller shaft. See page 3, paragraph 34.

With respect to claims 3, 4 and 17, although Klinefelter et al. does not explicitly discuss a predetermined interval between the driving member and the first supporting unit at the first end of the feeding roller shaft, it is noted that an apparatus will always have a predetermined interval between any two given elements so it would be inherent for this to be the case between the elements of Klinefelter et al. in this invention.

With respect to claims 5, 6 and 18, Klinefelter et al. does not teach that the second supporting unit comprises an anchoring ring and a washer centered about the feeding roller shaft, or that it is provided to be in face-contact with a surface of the first supporting unit facing the first end of the feeding roller shaft.

Olowinski teaches a shaft supporting unit comprising an anchoring ring, 32, and a washer, 38, centered about the feeding roller shaft, or that it is provided to be in face-contact with a surface of the first supporting unit facing the first end of the feeding roller shaft. See column 2, line 55 – column 3, line 22 and Fig. 2.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the second supporting unit of Olowinski with the shaft supporter of Klinefelter to efficiently reduce the vibration in the shaft when the roller is operated.

4. Claims 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klinefelter et al. in view of Olowinski.

With respect to claim 21, Klinefelter et al. teaches a feeding roller shaft supporter for an ink-jet printer having a feeding roller shaft, 74, comprising: a first supporting unit, 75, supporting opposite ends of the feeding roller shaft, 74, in a radial direction; a driving member provided at a first end of the feeding roller shaft. See page 3, paragraphs 33-34.

Klinefelter does not teach a second supporting unit provided on a second end of the feeding roller shaft, supporting the feeding roller shaft in an axial direction. Application/Control Number: 10/659,256 Page 5

Art Unit: 2854

Olowinski teaches a shaft having a second supporting unit provided on a second end supporting the shaft in an axial direction. See column 2, line 55 – column 3, line 22 and Fig. 2.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the second supporting unit of Olowinski with the shaft supporter of Klinefelter to reduce the vibration in the shaft when the roller is operated.

With respect to claim 23, although Klinefelter et al. does not explicitly discuss a predetermined interval between the driving member and the first supporting unit at the first end of the feeding roller shaft, it is noted that an apparatus will always have a predetermined interval between any two given elements so it would be inherent for this to be the case between the elements of Klinefelter et al. in this invention.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 2,083,365 to Dodge, U.S. Patent No. 3,481,211 to Bottazzi, U.S. Patent No. 3,788,638 to Lehmann, U.S. Patent No. 4,187,668 to Olowinski et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,448,554 to Driemeyer, U.S. Patent No. 6,183,406 to Barrus et al. and U.S. Patent No. 6,286,931 to Kawakami et al. each teach a roller supporting apparatus having apparent similarities to the claimed subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,256

Art Unit: 2854

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jill E. Culler whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2159. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

iec

Danie J. Colilia
Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 2854